UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,108	07/31/2003	Richard M. Mathis		7759
Richard M. Ma	7590 08/06/2007 this		EXAM	INER
9773 Lost Colt Circle			. LANEAU, RONALD	
Las Vegas, NV	89117		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	3714	
			,	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/631,108	MATHIS, RICHARD M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald Laneau	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timuser, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 14-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-23,25 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s)*(PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/631,108

Art Unit: 3714

Response to Amendment

1. The response filed on 05/24/07 has been entered. Claims 14-26 are still pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 14-18, 20-23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wells (US 2002/0115487 A1).

As per claims 14-18, Wells disclose a progressive gaming system comprising: a first gaming device having an input device, a display and a controller, wherein the first gaming device is configured to receive a wager from a first player to play a progressive type game (see fig. 1, 22); and a second gaming device having an input device, a display and a controller, the second gaming device being communicably coupled to the first gaming device, wherein the second gaming device is configured to receive a wager from a second player to play the progressive type game (see fig. 1, 22), wherein the first and second gaming devices exchange, with each other, information associated with the amount of wagers placed by the first and the second player on each respective gaming device by exchanging an electronic data packet of a predetermined format (see fig. 1), in order to determine the total jackpot amount to be won, wherein determination of whether the first player has won, and the total jackpot amount to be paid to the

Application/Control Number: 10/631,108

Art Unit: 3714

first player is performed by the controller of the first gaming device (page 1, [0005]; total jackpot is transmitted to each gaming machine and may be displayed to a player in each gaming machine), and wherein determination of whether the second player has won and the total jackpot amount to be paid to the second player, is performed independently of the first gaming device, by the controller of the second gaming device (page 1, [0005]; total jackpot is transmitted to each gaming machine and may be displayed to a player in each gaming machine).

As per claims 15-17 and 25, The system of Wells is inherently capable of comprising a first and a second precise time base, each configured to measure time of occurrence of events on the first and second gaming devices, respectively, with a resolution of picoseconds or a resolution of 10⁻¹⁵; wherein the first gaming device is capable of initiating a secondary bonus game independent of the second gaming device and the second gaming device is capable of initiating a secondary bonus game independent of the first gaming device; and wherein the first gaming device and the second gaming device communicate on a peer-to-peer basis as claimed (all gaming machines provide time measurement, bonus game and peer-to-peer communication).

As per claims 20 and 21, Wells inherently discloses a method further comprising: upon determining that the first player has won, awarding a portion of the jackpot indicia to the first player; resetting all of the gaming devices; and awarding a remainder of the jackpot indicia to the first player pay when all gaming devices are confirmed as being reset; further comprising awarding a portion of the remainder of the jackpot indicia as the gaming devices are being reset but not yet confirmed as reset (most of the gaming machines pay a portion of the award after winning and pay the rest of the award after all machines have been reset).

As per claim 22, Wells discloses a system wherein the secondary game initiated by the first gaming device has no dependency upon an outcome of the progressive type game (see fig. 1).

As per claim 23, Well discloses a system of claim 16 further comprising a first secondary game device communicably coupled to the first gaming device for initiating the secondary bonus game, wherein the first secondary game device further comprises a display device, a random number generator, and a unit for calculating a value to determine a game outcome based upon use of generated values of said random number generator in a predetermined formula (see fig. 1).

As per claim 26, Wells discloses a method further comprising exchanging, between the first and second gaming devices, a total amount available for pay to the first and the second player, prior to determining the total jackpot amount to be paid according to predetermined parameters (page 1, [0005]; total jackpot is transmitted to each gaming machine and may be displayed to a player in each gaming machine according to parameters as claimed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 4. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wells (US 5. 2002/0115487 A1) in view of Lockton (US 5,083,400).

As per claim 19, Wells does not disclose broadcasting and updating but Lockton discloses a method comprising: broadcasting a parameter block of the predetermined format to all gaming when conditions change at any gaming device, updating said parameter block according to the change in condition at said gaming device; and broadcasting an updated parameter block from the gaming device to other gaming devices communicably coupled thereto to update operational parameters at each gaming device based upon the updated parameter block received (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the broadcasting and updating means as taught by Lockton into the method of Wells because it would provide an improved game of skill or chance playable by simultaneously by several by several participants remote from each other.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 24 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 6. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the references, either singularly or in combination, discloses or even suggests:

As per claim 24, The system of claim 16 further comprising: a unit for choosing a random number in accordance with a predetermined selection process and mapping said random number to a symbol in accordance with a predetermined mapping process if the number of game plays of maximum bet in a play sequence is less than a predetermined maximum; and a display

Art Unit: 3714

device for displaying a generic icon as a placeholder to allow progress of the secondary bonus game to be ascertained.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 05/24/07 have been fully considered but they are not 7. persuasive.

Applicant argues that Wells fails to teach or suggest "exchanging wager information between different machines." In response to Applicant's arguments, Wells discloses a communication link 28 that connects each gaming device 22 with a gateway 24 through a network. The gaming device can communicate with each other and also the gaming gateway can communicate with each gaming device to re-route information over an alternative portion of the link. Wells discloses a system that uses a software program to allow communication between the gaming gateway and the gaming machine and between the gaming machines themselves. Although Wells does not explicitly disclose such information but one skilled in the art would have known that the gaming devices would communicate relevant data related to players' wagers in a particular game so that they can determine the prize amount.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 8. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO Application/Control Number: 10/631,108

Art Unit: 3714

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 3:00.

Art Unit: 3714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714

rl